Notes on Photo Studio (Non-Urban Reserve and Greenbelt) - Workshop 6/12/04

Visioning Session for June 12th Workshop What do you want to see in the Non-Urban Reserve (Greenbelt) portion of Coyote Valley?

45 Surveys

- □ Walking trails (25)
 □ Bicycle paths (21)
- □ Pedestrian pathways (21)
- a Orchards (20)
- □ Rural residential (20)
- □ Vineyard (18)
- u Residential vineyard (18)
- □ Open space (17)
- ☐ Wholesale plant nurseries (17)
- □ Restored, creek bed (17)
- Restored habitat (16)
- □ Equestrian paths (16)
- Natural lake (15)
- u Habitat mitigation (15)
- u Bike lanes (15)
- Picnic areas (15)
- □ Community gardens (14)
- □ Row crops (14)
- Natural edge water scape (14)
- u Wildlife crossings (14)
- Farmers markets (14)
- □ Co-op farms (13)
- □ Greenhouses (13)
- □ Grazing land (13)
- □ Bus (13)
- □ Estates homes (13)
- a Specialty farms (12)
- u Turf farms (11)
- u Equestrian facilities (11)
- Dog park (11)
- Golf (10)
- □ Ohlone interpretive center (10)
- □ Shuttle (8)

- u Fountains (8)
- □ Community pool (8)
- D Kite flying (8)
- □ Cemeteries IIII/II (7)
- □ Camp grounds IIII/II (7)
- □ Conference center IIII/II (7)
- □ Interpretive centers (7)
- D Soccer fields (7)
- ☐ Active recreation parks (7)
- □ Lighted recreation fields (6)
- □ Softball fields (6)
- □ Amphitheater (6)
- u Clustered residential (6)
- D Outdoor art (6)
- Mushroom farm (5)
- Fishing (5)
- □ Xeriscape (5)
- Cultural celebrations (5)
- □ Run/walk races (5)
- u Band shell (4)
- Art festivals (4)
- □ Football fields (4)
- □ Tennis (4)
- Banquet facilities (3)
- Skateboard park (3)
- ⊔ Bocce ball (3)
- □ Day care centers (2)
- □ Parades (2)
- □ Outdoor kiosks (2)
- □ Lawn bowling (2)
- □ Bicycle racing (2)
- □ Basketball courts (2)
- □ Taxis (1)

Environmental

- · No new commercial activities
- Perhaps buy out some existing businesses
- Row crops including commercial flowers
- Restrict greenhouses
- Xeriscape as an alternative, area needs additional greenery.
- Existing agricultural use needs to be facilitated.

 What provisions are there for evaluating traffic, etc. impact on Greenbelt property owners?

Flip chart notes:

- No bike lanes mean more paving?
- Consider land use patters already in place.
- Coyote Valley Greenbelt by County planning office.
- Economics?
- Priorities based on what?
- Entertainment?
- Recreation?
- Who are we deciding for?
- Private vs public recreation
- What happens to Greenbelt with development of Mid-Coyote?
- Public transportation into and out of area.
- High speed rail and links with regional transportation
- No rail, we want low density! Protect habitat!
- Low intrusion public transportation
- 1-acre rural residential development encouraging home vineyards/orchards/animal husbandry.
- Policies and incentives to encourage viable commercial agricultural uses and open space.
- Mushroom farm a high priority.
- No cluster housing
- No ranchette sprawl
- Structured sports fields (at schools only)

How do added amenities affect the habitat (traffic!) e.g. conference center/bangu

- · Row crops need packing, cooling and shipping.
- Mushroom farm needs packing, cooling and shipping.

Services

- No services
- Campgrounds along Coyote Creek
- Day care centers, small, for residents

Cultural/Community Facilities

- · No cultural or community facilities
- · Band shell requires too much parking
- Ohlone interpretive center if small

Housing

- · Rural residential farm workers
- No more housing, perhaps buy out some of the existing homes
- Both Estate homes and Clustered residential would not create a true buffer
- Open space and agriculture are the best options
- Estate homes if house size and paving limited
- Clustered residential if remaining land has conservation easement
- Rural residential, continue existing, but no more permitted
- No residential
- One unit per 20 acres
- 5-acre parcels

Transportation

- Low density
- · Shuttle for local service
- No transportation system

Amenities

- Parades, if greenbelt related
- Art festivals, if greenbelt related
- · Outdoor art, if rural related
- Cultural celebrations, if rural related
- Outdoor kiosks, if rural related

Recreation

- No recreation
- · We need to protect habitat
- · Most of these are urban related and require parking areas
- Bicycle racing, if on road passing through
- Picnic areas along Coyote Creek
- · Kite flying along Coyote Creek

General comments:

- Any of these features are non-economical as far as the property owners are concerned.
- Leave Greenbelt undeveloped no new activities that require more traffic.
- Properlies in Greenbelt area and not in City, a one time zoning change to 5 acre parcels.
- This development creates an opportunity to provide sewage service to Greenbelt businesses and residences as the Greenbelt septic systems are failing.

Notes on Photo Studio (Neighborhoods in Coyote Valley) - Workshop 6/12/04

Visioning Session for June 12th Workshop What do you want to see in the Neighborhoods of Coyote Valley?

29 Surveys

D	Tree lined streets (20)	0	Senior center (9)
o	Picnic areas (18)	u	Service stations (9)
u	Grocery stores (18)	u	Farmers market (9)
	Elementary schools (17)	0	Urban gathering places (9)
	Single family detached units (16)	O	Football fields (8)
	Walking trails (15)	0	Apartments (8)
u	Bicycle paths (15)	ū	Shuttle (8)
u	Town homes (15)	u	Bocce ball courts (7)
U	Neighborhood parks (14)		Plant nursery (7)
ш	Day care (14)		Fine dining (7)
	Fire stations (14)	0	Fixed-rail transit (7)
	Casual dining (14)	o.	Fountains (7)
	Assisted living facilities (14)	0	Single family estates (7)
O	Bike lanes (14)	a	Offices of physicians & dentists,
0	Soccer fields (13)		etc. (6)
u	Police substations (13)	0	Private schools (6)
u	Senior housing (13)		Convenience retail centers (6)
u	Small pocket parks (12)		Personal transit 6)
	Religious facilities (12)	0	Outdoor art (6)
	Condominiums (12)		Equestrian paths (5)
	Affordable housing (12)	0	Skate board park (5)
	Community pool (11)	u	Fast food (5)
ü	Nursery schools (11)		Bowling alley (5)
u	Sidewalk cafés (11)		Home improvement center (5)
u	Art festivals (11)		Taxis (5)
	Dog park (10)	0	Competitive swim facility (5)
	Adult education (10)	C	Cultural celebration (5)
	Library (10)	G	Nature areas, interpretive centers
	Jr. High schools (10)		(4)
	Skilled nursing facilities (10)	C	Lawn bowling greens (4)
0	Bus (10)	u	Community college (4)
ů.	Farmers markets (10)		Auto repair services (4)
u	Pedestrian promenade (10)	a	Fishing (3)
u	Natural or formal water edges (9)	۵	Bicycle racing (3)
	Basketball courts (9)		Hotel/motel (3)
	Tennis courts (9)		Kite flying (3)
	Softball fields (9)	Э	Outdoor kiosks (3)
	High schools (9)	0	Parades (3)
	Health clinics (9)		Auto dealer (0)
u			The second secon

Recreation

· Athletic fields, multi-purpose

Services

- Fire stations adequate for services and response times
- Police substations, many
- YMCA

Cultural/Community Facilities

- Performance space
- Exhibition space
- Rehearsal space
- Studio space
- Art centers/performance

Community Services

None

Housing

- No apartments
- No condominiums

General comments:

Get real – these phots are completely misleading. There are no phots of highrises, 7-10 story buildings. These were the type of buildings show in the design studio. Of course, the photos presented are lovely. Of course the photos are what every neighborhood would like. These photos <u>don't</u> represent the Coyote Valley Specific Plan.

- We prefer high density in neighborhoods. 4-6 story town homes/lofts.
- DO NOT DEVELOP COYOTE VALLEY
- No more than 2-story buildings

Flip chart notes:

- Do we have to drive to stores?
- Children a priority (day care/schools)
- Transit a priority
- Facilitate "opposite traffic" (reverse commute)
- Water transit? (Ferry system)
- Affordable housing/transit/mixed-use
- No one picked a gas station? Let's add one.
- No low priorities.
- What's the difference between neighborhoods and communities?
- How many people in an area like Willow Glen? Want of get an example or comparison.
- The other groups did not tell us low could = no. (pictures that remain on original board)
- No pictures depict density (high)
- Lots of water
- Which photos depict "smart growth"?
- Public facility like YMCA
- Bike paths (not same as bike lanes in streets)
- Pedestrian connections
- The more information we (public) have, the more comfortable we can be.
- What about density? (how can we comment about density?
- With 25,000 residences how many high schools is that?

- What's a pocket park?
 We want high-rise photo (want more density than pictures depict).
 Need more (variety) sports fields and combined with school grounds.

Visioning Session for June 12th Workshop What do you want to see in the Community Core of Coyote Valley?

27 Surveys

ш	Pedestrian promenades (20)	u	Playhouse (10)
	Libraries (20)		Community centers (10)
D	Sidewalk cafes (20)	0	Fixed-rail transit (10)
D	Casual dining (19)	a	Hospitals (9)
U	Outdoor cafes (19)	u	Jr. high schools (9)
ш	Farmers markets (19)	u	Hotel/motels (9)
U	Fountains (18)		Banquet facilities (9)
D	Seating areas (18)		Town homes (9)
D	Formal water scapes (16)	0	Personal transit (9)
- 53	Art festivals (17)	0	Swim lagoon (8)
0	Night Fine dining (17)	a	Band shell (8)
D)	Grocery store (16)	Ü	Community pool (8)
_	Retail stores (16)	U	Run/walk race (8)
2	Mixed-Use (residences above		Lakes for boating (7)
	retail) (16)		Senior Center (7)
\Box	Performing arts center (15)		Canals (6)
O	Movie theaters (15)	0	Nursery schools (6)
	Condominiums (15)		Convenience retail (6)
Ü	Bike lanes (15)	a a	High rise (6)
u	Fountains (15)	u	Taxis (6)
	Outdoor art (15)		Outdoor kiosks (6)
	Offices of physicians &	O.	Lighted athletic field (6)
	dentists, etc. (14)		Rowing (5)
D	Medical clinic (14)	0	Vocational training (5)
D	Police sub-station (14)		Private schools (5)
	Fire stations (14)	0	Competition swim facility (5)
u	Museums (14)	U	Fast food (5)
\Box	Nightclubs (14)		Home improvements centers
	Bus (14)		(5)
	Shuttle (13)	ם	Parades (5)
\Box	Community college (12)	0	Bowling Alfey (4)
O	Amphitheater (12)	O	Short term residency shelter
D	Natural edge water scapes		(4)
	(11)	U.	Bowling alleys (4)
J	Day care (11)	U	Fishing (3)
\supset	Art galleries (11)		Skilled nursing homes (3)
D	Apartments (11)	Ω	Skating rinks (2)
	Senior housing (11)		Auto dealers (1)
	Cultural celebrations (11)		Kite flying (0)
\Box	Elementary schools (10)		
\Box	High schools (10)		

Services

Domestic violence agency

Cultural/Community Facilities

Band shell, small performance,

open air

Community Services

Ethnic diversity in retail

No auto dealers

Flip Chart Notes:

· Schools low priority (less children in urban core).

Schools high priority (kids should not have to be bussed to school).

No big business or office concentration.

High-speed rail planning important (plus links with regional transit).

Mixed-use housing

Small business/services

No big box retail

High priority

· Affordable housing: very low income mixed with market rate

YMCA somewhere on transit

Low priority

Full acute care hospital not needed.

Police substation outside of core area.

How does this exercise relate to the "block exercise" in the other room? (does not relate to density)

Should doctors be in neighborhood?

. I just bought property here and had not heard about this.

Urban transit connector

Underground transit

Community centers

In town high density housing

Parks, recreation facilities

Retail

Jobs/housing balance

Housing choices for all incomes – high priority

Bike paths (not streets) – high priority

There is a temptation to put everything desirable in the core. Some of these probably are more appropriate in neighborhoods.

No home improvement centers

No fast food

Housing

Affordable housing

Recreation

Traffic calming

De-emphosize parking

Notes on Design Alternatives - Workshop 6/12/04

Notes from June 12, 2004 Community Workshop - Concept Studio Table B

TRANSIT SPINE

- All three groups tended to agree with the loop concept that it made most sense.
- · At least two groups mentioned that the loop spine should be bi-directional to work well.
- The loop should be completed as a system instead of a phased approach with just a segment completed.
- Transit as an alternative was a positive since 101 was congested.
- VTA light rail system should not stop at Bailey but should connect further south into Morgan Hill and beyond.

CAL TRAIN STATION

- There should be more than one stop along the length of the development.
- The Caltrain location in proximity to the town center made sense.

CONNECTIONS

- It was important to maintain direct north south connections ie. Santa Teresa alignment should be direct and not wind around the town center.
- There was a lot of positive input to have inter-connected bike and pedestrian pathways throughout the community.

TOWN CENTER

- . The Town Center location should move further south to be "centered" in the community.
- If the Town Center is more of a regional draw, the current location made sense.
- The Town Center should include an outreach center of City Hall, post office, entertainment, retail
 and plenty of restaurants.
- The Town Center should include a regional library, museums and other attractions.

LAKE

- The concept of a water element appealed to everyone.
- There were discussions about the maintenance of the water body, details of where the water was coming from....
- The lake design should ensure that the when the water level was low, the lake was still visually
 appealing.
- While the idea of a lake was appealing, there was some discussion on the idea of not having the lake be the "town center" or to have a combination of the lake and a large community green space as the town center.

COMMUNITY AMENITIES

 Community amenities should be co-located with schools in the neighborhoods. Play fields, community centers and libraries should be shared amenities between schools and the community.

PARKWAY

- · As a concept, was a great idea. There were questions about the number of lanes and other details.
- · Make sure there was enough capacity in the future to expand lanes as needs increase.
- The parkway alignment that runs behind IBM and away from Bailey functions better in dispersing traffic and maintaining Bailey as a neighborhood street connecting to the community core.
- The parkway should include a separate bike lane adjacent to the parkway.

FISHER CREEK

- There was consensus that there was no disagreement on the third alternative as the preferred alternative (there were comments that during the presentation, it was set up as the preferred alternative).
- · Fisher Creek in its "natural" state has a lot of appeal.
- · There were several questions about the details of the improvement and processing.
- · Water as a central theme in Coyote was appealing.

LAND USES

- A range of densities should be included.
- Clear separation between industrial and residential
- Mixed use buildings at 4 stories appropriate for areas between industrial/commercial and residential

OTHER ISSUES

- Cost
- Who pays?
- · Phasing of development/transit
- Maintenance
- North Coyote development what kinds of industrial commercial are we anticipating?
- · Greenbelt area should be included in the planning process

K E N K A Y A S S O C I A T E S

June 14, 2004

Notes from June 12, 2004 Community Workshop Concept Studio - Table "C"

Transit Spine:

Alternatives to automobile made sense.

Specific routes hard to judge. Should follow development and density.

Multi-use with VTA

Connectivity with areas outside Coyote Valley seems logical.

Electric cars came up.

Cal Train Station:

Station seemed in the right spot-community center.

Light rail and Cal Train stations could be closer perhaps one station.

Parkway:

Seemed appealing
Questions about what it was; lanes, pedestrians, bio-swales, habitat corridor.
Issue of Timing; "Creative ROW Management"

Fisher Creek:

No support for existing Fisher Creek.
"Restored" creek idea strong.
Questions about how it all worked, i.e., dry in summer, multi-uses such as recreation and habitat, flood control, storm water management.

Focal Lake:

Strong idea-more than central park. Location good.

Questions about uses; boats, swimming, storm water management.

Big question; where does the water come from how does it work.

Water Features/Canals:

Interesting idea.

Lots of thoughts about uses along edge. Urban and soft both discussed.

San Antonio River Walk came up about a lively concept.

Planning Landscape Architecture Urban Design



1045 Sansonie St Stadio 321 San Francisco California 9 1111

415.956.4472.Tel 415.956.4523.Fax

teres lagarithms as were

Connections between east and wost sides of Monterey Ave:
Extreme range from expand parkway to high-rise density.
Access seen as priority for pedestrians. Overpass maybe better than under.

Land Uses

Hard for people to say where one use should go. Mixed-use made sense to most. Activate communities and neighborhoods 24.7

Meta Issues

Lots of questions as to how things worked.
Timing, phasing what gets built when.
Cost, how is it all paid for, and can all the big ideas be paid for.
Approvals, agreements, especially agencies.

Submitted by Paul Barber Senior Associate Ken Kay Associates Phone: 415.956.4477 Email: pharbar@ken.eays* 22m